



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,610	10/31/2003	Marc Gandar	M2006-700010	9895
37462	7590	02/03/2009	EXAMINER	
LOWRIE, LANDO & ANASTASI, LLP ONE MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100 CAMBRIDGE, MA 02142			TIV, BACKHEAN	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	2451			
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
02/03/2009	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@ll-a.com  
gengelson@ll-a.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/698,610	GANDAR, MARC
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	BACKHEAN TIV	2451

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BACKHEAN TIV. (3) ROBERT SKRIVANEK (REG#41,316).

(2) JOHN FOLLANSBEE. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Saito, Osakabe.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment, First Alternative claim 1, overcomes the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejection. Concerning the prior art, it appears that the proposed amendment overcomes the art, however further search and/or consideration is necessary, the applicant is recommended to submit the proposed amendment (First Alternative) and arguments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.